Course-Section: ENGL 300 01

Title: Comm/Tech - Analysis

Instructor: Burgess, Helen J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 22

	Research			Fre	Frequencies			In	Instructor		Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General						#DDZY YOSHOO VARION O		Millione Million State and State Sta		makkilan panagaran forangapak dama adak para mangapak dama a		gody vin 7 konkelisionin in 21 konkelisionin in 22 konkelisionin in 22 konkelisionin in 22 konkelisionin in 2	ago monocomo um un manadado de Paramete	and other relations and relationship in the research of the relationship in the relati
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	1	0	0	1	1	8	11	4.38	806/1589	4.52	4.11	4.32	4.33	4.38
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	1	0	0	1	0	6	14	4.57	511/1589	4.29	4.23	4.29	4.26	4.57
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	1	0	0	0	1	1	19	4.86	204/1391	4.86	4.39	4.34	4.3 0	4.86
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	1	1	0	0	0	2	18	4.90	108/1552	4.68	4.28	4.25	4.24	4.90
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	5	16	4.76	176/1495	4.52	4.04	4.14	4.11	4.76
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	1	0	0	0	0	6	15	4.71	200/1457	4.43	4.32	4.15	4.13	4.71
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	1	0	0	0	4	5	12	4.38	672/1572	4.58	4.00	4.21	4.18	4.38
8. How many times was class cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	6	14	4.62	1001/1589	4.56	4.55	4.66	4.67	4.62
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	3	0	0	1	1	6	11	4.42	481/1569	4.41	4.09	4.13	4.10	4.42
Lecture	Programme copy, or describe	Annual Line State of the Provider	V449 2 s.s. (879 s. c.d.6. 10 , ext (94,4.)	gija gymėj spanyo irano diradičali iri Mini	AND		A 1000			hods/well-ned National Responses are a company of the company of t	ngganga kanggan kanang kangga kan kan kangga kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan kan ka	ugykkinisten on a sakinda takinista istoo istoo oo oo oo oo	gagging is not a successful and a supply by the supply of	ngulaunguun erinauta sekonar nebilik 1944 t
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	0	4	15	4.79	434/1530	4.75	4.38	4.49	4.49	4.79
Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	3	16	4.84	757/1533	4.92	4.77	4.75	4.75	4.84
Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	1	5	13	4.63	524/1528	4.53	4.33	4.35	4.33	4.63
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	1	0	4	14	4.63	572/1529	4.64	4.25	4.36	4.34	4.63
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	14	1	2	1	1	0	2.40	****/1393	4.50	3.72	4.06	4.10	****
Discussion		hans and the second section of	ness (person and an enterpt in represent	S. C. A. S. L. A. C.	francesco co m time co neto	Bacana aparti, materiologia	elinis especiales es caracteriste	gorth magazinin servicia e ermilighead risered fir i britainit fi 40000 1924.	and the first control of the second control of the					
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	7	. 0	0	0	2	6	7	4.33	601/1337	4.67	4.22	4.17	4.20	4.33
Were all students actively encouraged to participate	7	0	0	1	0	3	11	4.60	543/1331	4.65	4.41	4.35	4.35	4.60
Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	7	0	0	0	1	0	14	4.87	301/1333	4.89	4.54	4.40	4.41	4.87
4. Were special techniques successful	7	6	1	0	2	4	2	3.67	756/1014	4.13	4.04	4.05	4.04	3.67

Course-Section: ENGL 300 01

Title: Comm/Tech - Analysis

Instructor: Burgess, Helen J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 27

Questionnaires: 22

			Frequencies					Instructor		Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Field Work		\$100 personal constraints	S C ((((((((((((((((((91999703844997097	opportunity to engine a green or a		AND AND STREET, COLUMN						agenture y a talkeninge til et parket i Nordal skedet er skille kan sterke	nggary mmana ngapang ah a ka sa anaga himbaka
Did field experience contribute to what you learned	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	2.60	3.85	3.93	****
Did you clearly understand your evaluation criteria	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/40	****	3.20	3.89	4.16	****
3. Was the instructor available for consultation	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/32	****	****	4.30	4.48	****
4. To what degree could you discuss your evaluations	20	0	0	0	0	0	2	5.00	****/29	****	****	4.15	4.15	****
5. Did conferences help you carry out field activities	20	0	0	0	0	1	1	4.50	****/21	****	****	4.32	4.25	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	l Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors		
00	0-27	1	0.00-0.99	0	Α	8	Required for Majors	20	Graduate	0	Мајог	17
28	8-55	3	1.00-1.99	0	В	10						
56	6-83	1	2.00-2.99	2	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	22	Non-major	5
84	-150	3	3.00-3.49	8	D	0						
G	rad.	0	3.50-4.00	0	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there	e are not e	nough responses	
					Р	0			to be significant			
					1	0	Other	0				
					?	3						

Run Date: 1/31/2013 12:23:42 PM

Page 132 of 253

Course-Section: ENGL 442 01

Title: Visual Literacy

Instructor: Burgess, Helen J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 15

	2027100-			Fre	equencies			In	structor	Course	Org	UMBC	Level	Sect
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
General		umaquar-nagay arrang 1896	Bonner (Strict) Bonner (Strict)						e Namion i Bologogo de la compansión de la seguina de la seguina persona compression de la selación de la seguina	agón kengy umuskaka hafat ay ma yagi dankan habhajan maskila ke ka	er Çiriyaya qarak oo noo waxaa ka noo saasa s	y in the complement or 1/2,0 company life 107 + 14 mile from	Louis and the same	my management of the contract
1. Did you gain new insights, skills from this course	0	0	0	0	0	5	10	4.67	435/1589	4.67	4.11	4.32	4.46	4.67
2. Did the instructor make clear the expected goals	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	317/1589	4.73	4.23	4.29	4.35	4.73
3. Did the exam questions reflect the expected goals	0	9	0	0	0	1	5	4.83	223/1391	4.83	4.39	4.34	4.46	4.83
4. Did other evaluations reflect the expected goals	0	1	0	0	0	2	12	4.86	142/1552	4.86	4.28	4.25	4.37	4.86
5. Did assigned readings contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	1	1	13	4.80	148/1495	4.80	4.04	4.14	4.25	4.80
6. Did written assignments contribute to what you learned	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	4.80	131/1457	4.80	4.32	4.15	4.30	4.80
7. Was the grading system clearly explained	0	0	0	0	0	4	11	4.73	255/1572	4.73	4.00	4.21	4.28	4.73
8. How many times was class cancelled	0	0	0	0	0	2	13	4.87	572/1589	4.87	4.55	4.66	4.68	4.87
9. How would you grade the overall teaching effectiveness	2	0	0	0	0	2	11	4.85	134/1569	4.85	4.09	4.13	4.22	4.85
Lecture	To the second se	European and a second	Odgová Smila III-Navíří (Větříce)	in region of a construction of the section of the s	Sing A group maker "militar mobiles" (**)		*** O Teleformonian or Steam aure ann				myyddynaddwy dyfdiglegallyw i fell faet y blan 100	uaken hillion e en litz yn ekster en stocker tit word.	guines services and had been to be been light than	aguasaerosmadadostatetintabascient
1. Were the instructor's lectures well prepared	3	0	0	0	1	2	9	4.67	644/1530	4.67	4.38	4.49	4.56	4.67
Did the instructor seem interested in the subject	3	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	527/1533	4.92	4.77	4.75	4.76	4.92
3. Was lecture material presented and explained clearly	3	0	0	0	0	1	11	4.92	156/1528	4.92	4.33	4.35	4.41	4.92
4. Did the lectures contribute to what you learned	3	0	0	0	1	3	8	4.58	639/1529	4.58	4.25	4.36	4.44	4.58
5. Did audiovisual techniques enhance your understanding	3	3	0	0	1	1	7	4.67	221/1393	4.67	3.72	4.06	4.18	4.67
Discussion	Santana e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	hyperraneerranic espace wh	engagagagana, panasaganagahin ^a	organisma en conducer de risk	last only replicative or the re-	i gang pamandan ayan 144 (internal	el congestion and all the	in many file and 12 profession as a second second		torragi 44 magastago ayang manaying manaki di andalini kanin di 1800	gaarassaan saan saa eesti oo	, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,		gran pagawatan grass can nogativ
Did class discussions contribute to what you learned	4	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1337	5.00	4.22	4.17	4.36	5.00
Were all students actively encouraged to participate	4	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1331	5.00	4.41	4.35	4.56	5.00
3. Did the instructor encourage fair and open discussion	4	0	0	0	0	0	11	5.00	1/1333	5.00	4.54	4.40	4.63	5.00
Were special techniques successful	4	3	0	0	0	3	5	4.63	196/1014	4.63	4.04	4.05	4.32	4.63

Course-Section: ENGL 442 01

Title: Visual Literacy

Instructor: Burgess, Helen J

Term - Fall 2012

Enrollment: 16

Questionnaires: 15

		t.	Frequencies				In	structor	Course	Org		Level	Control of the Control	
Questions	NR	NA	1	2	3	4	5	Mean	Rank	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean	Mean
Seminar					n regularezago escorrarezegia do d	o gradieni orto ortografica		anna de la companya	nonde a bullet in a glight destine a management of the forest members the different and the bullet in the contribution	deline iller statistica del servicio de la companio de persona especiale que posse de companio de la companio	gar-managaristar-magadistarian.	and to a self translation of the self-self-self-self-self-self-self-self-		****
1. Were assigned topics relevant to the announced theme	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/62	****	4.35	4.46	4.56	
Was the instructor available for individual attention	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/65	****	4.33	4.43	4.54	****
Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/63	****	4.28	4.29	4.31	****
Did research projects contribute to what you learned	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/61	****	5.00	4.47	4.49	****
5. Were criteria for grading made clear	12	0	0	0	0	0	3	5.00	****/61	****	4.17	4.19	4.12	****

Frequency Distribution

Credits Earned		Cum. GPA		Expected	Grades	Reasons		Туре		Majors			
		Cuill. GFA	•	Expected			40	Graduate	0	Major	14		
00-27	0	0.00-0.99	0	Α	6	Required for Majors	10	Graduate	J				
28-55	0	1.00-1.99	0	В	6				45	Non major	1		
56-83	0	2.00-2.99	0	С	1	General	0	Under-grad	15	Non-major	ı		
84-150	1	3.00-3.49	3	D	0				1				
Grad.	0	3.50-4.00	1	F	0	Electives	0	**** - Means there are not enough responses					
				P	0			to be significant					
				1	0	Other	0						
				?	2								

Run Date: 1/31/2013 12:23:48 PM